

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/811,129	Applicant(s) CHOW ET AL.	
	Examiner Douglas B. Blair	Art Unit 2142	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas B. Blair.

(2) Steven Yates, Reg. No. 42,242.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: 2:30—

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 rejection based on Cotichini

Claims discussed:

1-43

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent Number 6,269,392

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Yates discussed the inventive intent of the the application and clarified his interpretation of the Cotichini reference.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)